



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/825,444      | 04/14/2004  | Jorge L. Acosta      | 51306/805:1         | 8339             |

33451 7590 07/19/2005

PSC SCANNING, INC. - STOEL RIVES LLP  
C/O STOEL RIVES LLP  
900 SW 5TH AVENUE  
SUITE 2600  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

PAIK, STEVE S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2876

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.A

|                          |                                      |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/825,444 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>ACOSTA ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Steven S. Paik    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2876              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Steven S. Paik. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) John A. Rafter, Jr. (Reg. No. 31,653). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 5/24/05; 7/18/05.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant is informed of the status of the application. The PTO-850 form has been created in May 24, 2005. A Special Program Examiner and the BPAI are reviewing the formality of Interference process currently. The applicant does not need to file a response to the Office Action mailed on April 21, 2005.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required